UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,366	10/14/2003	Tomohiko Horiguchi	P126US	6711
1218 7590 03/15/2007 CASELLA & HESPOS 274 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10016			EXAMINER DAVIS, ROBERT B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1722	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	1 2 11 11 11	T	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summer	10/686,366	HORIGUCHI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert B. Davis	1722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 2a) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-5 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/686,366

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-7 in the reply filed on 12/29/06 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 8 and 9 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 12/29/06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hägglund (3,962,395: figures 1 and 3; column 2, lines 58-68; column 3, lines 23-44 and column 6, lines 1-33).

Hägglund teaches a residual limp holding apparatus comprising: a casing (49), a contact member (65 see figure 3 and claim 1) provided in the casing to define a particle charge chamber between the contact member and the casing, the contact member coming in contact with the residual limb owing to increased particles in the particle charge chamber (see figure 3 and claim 1), wherein the casing is formed with a

Application/Control Number: 10/686,366 Page 3

Art Unit: 1722

charging hole (see figure 1). The charging hole is the same opening, which allows the residual limb to be inserted into the casing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hägglund taken together with Poussou (4,906,425: figures 1-7 and column 5, lines 3-8).

Hägglund discloses all claimed features except for the particle being a synthetic resin.

Poussou discloses a reconfigurable mold for forming an impression of a foot wherein a casing (4) and a flexible membrane (4a) enclose a chamber (4c) that is filled

Art Unit: 1722

with granular material in the form of plastic microballs. The device uses dilatancy to form reconfigurable molds for forming customized impressions.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Hägglund by using plastic particles as disclosed by Poussou as one of ordinary skill in the art would expect the plastic particles to maintain the shape as well as the sand particles used by Hägglund.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 2-5 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the prior art of record teach or suggest an amputate part holding apparatus as recited in claim 1, wherein the apparatus further includes an expander member provided in the particle charge chamber for applying additional pressing forces to particles charged in the particle charge chamber. Hägglund discloses a particle charge chamber that is evacuated to form a mold impression, but the reference does not disclose or suggest a pressing member within the charge chamber. In regards to claim 4, none of the prior art of record teach or suggest the apparatus of claim 1 wherein the casing is further formed with a discharging hole, further comprising a particle supply unit comprising: a particle storage chamber for storing particles, a charging passage for connecting the charging hole of the casing with the particle storage chamber and a discharging passage for connecting the discharging hole of the

Application/Control Number: 10/686,366

Art Unit: 1722

casing with the particle storage chamber. The closest prior art (Luebkeman 2,517,902 figures 3 and 4) discloses a charge container (34) having a single discharge opening (36), but fails to disclose or suggest a container having a charging hole and a discharging hole and a particle supply unit having a charging passage and a discharging passage which correspond to the charging hole and the discharging hole, respectively.

Page 5

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The remaining references illustrate the state of the art of reconfigurable molds using particulate material contained by a flexible diaphragm and a rigid container.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert B. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-1129. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,366 Page 6

Art Unit: 1722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert B. Davis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1722

3/11/07